# COURSE EVALUATION SUMMARY

**Course:** Understanding ISO/IEC 17025:2017  
**Trainer(s):** Ned Gravel  
**Location:** Washington, DC  
**Date:** 22-23 May 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Met Participant Needs?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 No</td>
<td>2 OK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Course Objectives:
- Were you given the opportunity to help define them? [ ]
- Were they well defined? [ ]
- Were they achieved? [ ]

## Course Content:
- Was the material appropriate? [ ]
- Complexity (1=too complex or too simple←→Perfect=5) [ ]
- Was the material clear to you? [ ]
- Volume (1=too much or not enough←→Perfect=5) [ ]
- Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help? [ ]

## Trainer/Facilitator Methods:
- Did the trainer/facilitator allow sufficient discussion? [ ]
- Did the trainer/facilitator encourage participation? [ ]
- Did the trainer/facilitator help bring out new group ideas? [ ]
- Did the trainer/facilitator help close out discussions? [ ]
- Would you accept this trainer/facilitator again? [ ]

## Catering and Facility:
- Was the training facility appropriate for the course? [ ]
- Was the lunch and breaks service acceptable? [ ]

## Participant Feedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedback</th>
<th>MOTIVA Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I enjoyed the group discussions. I think some more concrete examples would be helpful, particularly for uncertainty calculations.</td>
<td>Very true, but that subject actually needs its own course to best deal with the volume of material needed for knowledge uptake of that skill set.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My own position was not advanced enough for this course.</td>
<td>True. In this case, some knowledge of laboratory operations is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other comments:
- I enjoyed the course and it made me interested in getting further training.
- Cleared up some gray areas. Thank you. It was a lot of fun.